





---

So he asked for his life. This is the law school, he said. If I can't live under the law, then I don't want to live under the law. But, in this moment, for family and for the state, my best interest is for the state to take that responsibility. One of the reasons the lawyers waited until late in the afternoon to file for a "change of venue" was that they wanted a chance to decide whether to go to press or spend the night on this case, they said. They also said that they didn't want to comment on the case before they got to the trial. And then in his summation, the defense lawyer, Michael G. Kell, invoked N.L.R.A. President Tony Mazzocchi to argue that the jury should be free to ignore the law. He recited the famous words that began, "We do not have to obey the law we have only to obey the men who make the law. Any law they make, any law they give us, is whatever they say it is. We understand if Mr. Williams has to live within the system, but we also have the right and should the opportunity to live outside it. That's a fundamental right. To protect life and the home. Mr. Williams has more respect for the law than the people we're talking about, the law students and law professors. He's saying, basically, I'd rather live on the streets, than to live under a man. He's taking the same position as the rebellious children in the Joseph Smith case. Mr. Williams, she said, is more concerned about his rights than the rights of the students or the law. The law does not discriminate, she said, the courtroom does not discriminate, and the law has been there for a long time. As people who live outside of the law, the students are caught in the middle.